

NAME: _____ DUE DATE: _____

Reading Guide for:

“The Laboring Classes” by Orestes Brownson

EQ#2: How did industrialization change America economically, geographically & socially?

Instructions: Read “The Laboring Classes” by Orestes Brownson. After reading it, go back and highlight the text as you see appropriate and make marginal notations to indicate where information relevant to each of the prompts can be found. Finally, provide thoughtful written responses to the following prompts.

- (1) Why did many Americans “...experience [the market revolution] as a loss of freedom?”
- (2) What is the crisis that “...we or our children will have to meet?”
- (3) What does Brownson think is wrong with the way the capitalist industrial system rewards people?
- (4) What is Brownson’s perspective on wage labor? What is his problem with it? (HINT: What would Foner say?)
- (5) Does Brownson blame owners & managers for the problems he sees? Why or why not?
- (6) Brownson repeatedly compares workingmen/wage earners to slaves. What about the two does he think is similar?

57. Orestes Brownson, “The Laboring Classes” (1840)

Source: Orestes Brownson, “The Laboring Classes,” *Boston Quarterly Review*, Vol. 3 (July 1840), pp. 358–95.

Although many Americans welcomed the market revolution, others experienced it as a loss of freedom. Especially in the growing cities of the Northeast, economic growth was accompanied by a significant widening of the gap between wealthy merchants and industrialists, on the one hand, and impoverished factory workers, unskilled dock workers, and seamstresses laboring at home, on the other.

American society, wrote Orestes Brownson in his influential essay “The Laboring Classes,” faced a “crisis,” a war between “wealth and labor.” Brownson embraced the traditional identification of freedom with economic independence and identified not simply poverty but the system of wage labor itself as the fundamental problem. Brownson directly challenged the idea that individual improvement—Emerson’s self-reliance and self-realization—could produce “equality between man and man.” Workers’ problems, he insisted, had their root in “social arrangements,” not the limitations of individuals—“you must abolish the system or accept its consequences.”

NO ONE CAN observe the signs of the times with much care, without perceiving that a crisis as to the relation of wealth and labor is approaching. It is useless to shut our eyes to the fact, and like the ostrich fancy ourselves secure because we have so concealed our heads that we see not the danger.

We or our children will have to meet this crisis. The old war between the King and the Barons is well nigh ended, and so is that between the Barons and the Merchants and Manufacturers,—landed capital and commercial capital. The business man has become the peer of my Lord. And now commences the new struggle between the operative and his employer, between wealth and labor. Every day

does this struggle extend further and wax stronger and fiercer; what or when the end will be God only knows . . .

. . .

All over the world this fact stares us in the face, the workingman is poor and depressed, while a large portion of the non-workingmen, in the sense we now use the term, are wealthy. It may be laid down as a general rule, with but few exceptions, that men are rewarded in an inverse ratio to the amount of actual service they perform. Under every government on earth the largest salaries are annexed to those offices, which demand of their incumbents the least amount of actual labor either mental or manual. And this is in perfect harmony with the whole system of repartition of the fruits of industry, which obtain in every department of society. Now here is the system which prevails, and here is its result. The whole class of simple laborers are poor, and in general unable to procure anything beyond the bare necessities of life . . .

. . .

Now, what is the prospect of those who fall under the operations of this system? We ask, is there a reasonable chance that any considerable portion of the present generation of laborers, shall ever become owners of a sufficient portion of the funds of production, to be able to sustain themselves by laboring on their own capital, that is, as independent laborers? We need not ask this question, for everybody knows there is not. Well, is the condition of a laborer at wages the best that the great mass of the working people ought to be able to aspire to? Is it a condition,—nay can it be made a condition,—with which a man should be satisfied; in which he should be contented to live and die? . . .

. . .

Now the great work for this age and the coming, is to raise up the laborer, and to realize in our own social arrangements and in the actual condition of all men, that equality between man and man, which God has established between the rights of one and those of another. In other words, our business is to emancipate the proletaries, as the

past has emancipated the slaves. This is our work. There must be no class of our fellow men doomed to toil through life as mere workmen at wages. If wages are tolerated it must be, in the case of the individual operative, only under such conditions that by the time he is of a proper age to settle in life, he shall have accumulated enough to be an independent laborer on his own capital,—on his own farm or in his own shop. Here is our work. How is it to be done? . . .

The truth is, the evil we have pointed out is not merely individual in its character. It is not, in the case of any single individual, of any one man's procuring, nor can the efforts of any one man, directed solely to his own moral and religious perfection, do aught to remove it. What is purely individual in its nature, efforts of individuals to perfect themselves, may remove. But the evil we speak of is inherent in all our social arrangements, and cannot be cured without a radical change of those arrangements. Could we convert all men to Christianity in both theory and practice, as held by the most enlightened sect of Christians among us, the evils of the social state would remain untouched. Continue our present system of trade, and all its present evil consequences will follow, whether it be carried on by your best men or your worst. Put your best men, your wisest, most moral, and most religious men, at the head of your paper money banks, and the evils of the present banking system will remain scarcely diminished. The only way to get rid of its evils is to change the system, not its managers. The evils of slavery do not result from the personal characters of slave masters. They are inseparable from the system, let who will be masters. Make all your rich men good Christians, and you have lessened not the evils of existing inequality in wealth. The mischievous effects of this inequality do not result from the personal character of either rich or poor, but from itself, and they will continue, just so long as there are rich men and poor men in the same community. You must abolish the system or accept its consequences. No man can serve both God and Mammon. If you will serve the devil, you must look to the devil for your wages; we know no other way.